

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Manston Airport](#)
Subject: 20002 Stockholm Convention
Date: 24 June 2019 13:41:28

Dear National Planning

(1) You are aware that PFOA (Firefighting foam toxic residue) is now listed as Annex A hazard by Stockholm Convention.

(2) The PFOS and the (Cumulative impact and precautionary principle) PCBs remain as far as I know "Annex B"

(3) Overnight with the PFOA classification 9/5/19 RSP construction phase plans became obsolete.

(4) TDC is still purporting to be reviewing its contaminated land strategy but appears not to be taking account of the PFOA classification.

(5) Similarly TDC is supposed to report to Local Plan inspector re Safety of Water Supply but again I must question are TDC taking account of the PFOA classification ?

(6) TDC responses (4) and (5) fall within their duty of accountability for discharge of statutory duties. The press need these in order to accurately report TDC position

(7) As a Right (To safe water) it is for the State to act as custodian. Which state custodian (Sec of State Health, National Planning, TDC ??) will say that Thanet enjoys its international right to safe toxin free water ?

(8) My interpretation is that it is now (as of 9/5/19) the duty of TDC to contain PFOA to sites (such as Manston) and to prevent its release (such as to air, to sea to neighbouring land or into water supply)

(9) Because Stockholm Conventions POPS classifications date back ten years for Annex B this was an entirely foreseeable problem. But the fact RSP and TDC and SHP eyes all averted from the Stockholm crystal ball must tend to enforce the view there was criminal intent in breaching statutory duties under Environmental Protection Act 1990 under NHS Planning Law and under planning law cumulative impact and precautionary principle. In other words that Kent Police economic crime unit inquiry is well founded.

(10) I very much doubt you can say that Thanet rights to safe water are upheld and safeguarded within the RSP plan (or within the SHP plan) I wish there was provision for summary dismissal of the RSP application. For every year of delay that has been a year Thanet kept drinking water that was not tested for PFOA.

(11) Will RSP pay for blood tests of Thanet population ?

Kind regards Richard Card